arXiv:1406.0836v2 [math.HO] 6 Jun 2014

In Limbo: Three Triangle Centers

Steven Finch

June 3, 2014

Abstract. Yet more candidates are proposed for inclusion in the Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers. Our focus is entirely on simple calculations.

The best-known triangle centers:

- incenter (intersection of three angle bisectors)
- centroid (intersection of three medians)
- circumcenter (intersection of three perpendicular bisectors)
- orthocenter (intersection of three altitudes)

are the first four listed in Kimberling's famous database [1]. Thousands more appear. A recent addition is the electrostatic center [2]. Rigorous definition of a triangle center involves a real function f defined on the set of all possible triples (a, b, c) of triangle sides and satisfying certain properties. We forego such requirements (hence the phrase "in limbo") and informally propose three more triangle centers:

- equiareal disk center (associated with Fraenkel asymmetry [3, 4])
- illuminating center (also called a Shibata streetlight [5, 6])
- thermodynamic center (also called a "hot spot" [7, 8])

in the hope that someone else can pick up where we leave off. The latter notion, like the electrostatic center, has its origins in physics.

Consider three triangles T_1, T_2, T_3 with vertices

$$\{0,0\}, \{1,0\}, \{0,1\}, \quad \text{isosceles right triangle;}$$

$$\{0,0\}, \{1,0\}, \left\{0, \sqrt{3}\right\}, \quad 30°\text{-}60°\text{-}90° \text{ triangle;}$$

$$\{0,0\}, \{6,0\}, \left\{-\tfrac{13}{3}, \tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{3}\right\}, \quad \text{6-9-13 triangle.}$$

Our humble contribution is the calculation of triangle centers for these cases. We make no claim of originality or special insight. If our paper starts a conversation, leading perhaps to future inclusion of the three centers in [1], then our efforts will be justified.

[0]Copyright © 2014 by Steven R. Finch. All rights reserved.

1. Equiareal Disk Center

Given a triangle T, let $|T|$ denote its area. The Fraenkel asymmetry of T is defined to be

$$\alpha(T) = \inf\left\{\frac{|(T \smallsetminus D) \cup (D \smallsetminus T)|}{|T|} : D \text{ a disk with } |D| = |T|\right\}.$$

The numerator contains the symmetric difference of T and D. One could imagine a similar definition involving disks having the same perimeter as T, rather than area, but we leave this variation for other people to explore. The infimum $\alpha(T)$ is achieved for some disk centered at a unique interior point of T. Locating this point is a challenging exercise in calculus. We illustrate the necessary partitioning of T_1, T_2, T_3 in Figures 1, 2, 3 respectively. The color red is used for vertical rectangles of width dx; green is used for horizontal rectangles of width dy; black is used to further subdivide certain cells of the partition. Details of other feasible configurations of the triangle and disk are omitted for brevity's sake.

1.1. Isosceles Right Triangle. For T_1, the center must be on the diagonal line $y = x$ by symmetry. Since $|T_1| = 1/2$, the optimal circle C has the form

$$(x-t)^2 + (y-t)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi}.$$

The intersection of the line $x + y = 1$ and C yields two points (p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) with $p_1 < p_2$, $q_1 > q_2$. The intersection of the line $x = 0$ and C yields two points (p_3, q_3), (p_4, q_4) with $p_3 = p_4 = 0$, $q_3 > q_4$. The intersection of the line $y = 0$ and C yields two points (p_5, q_5), (p_6, q_6) with $p_5 < p_6$, $q_5 = q_6 = 0$. The intersection of the line $y = x$ and C yields two points; we select (p_7, q_7) to be the point with the larger x-coordinate. Everything can easily be made explicit, for example,

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi} - (1-2t)^2}\right), \qquad p_5 = t - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - t^2}, \qquad p_7 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} + t.$$

The northwestern triangle has area

$$\int_0^{p_1}\left[(1-x) - \left\{t + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - (t-x)^2}\right\}\right]dx$$

identical to the southeastern triangle. The southern circular cap has area

$$2\int_{p_5}^{t}\left[0 - \left\{t - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - (t-x)^2}\right\}\right]dx$$



Figure 1: Isosceles right triangle T_1



Figure 2: 30°-60°-90° triangle T_2



Figure 3: 6-9-13 triangle T_3

identical to the western circular cap. The southwestern triangle has area

$$\int_0^{p_5} \left[\left\{ t - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - (t-x)^2} \right\} - 0 \right] dx$$

and the northeastern circular cap has area

$$2\int_{p_1}^{1/2} \left[\left\{ t + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - (t-x)^2} \right\} - (1-x) \right] dx + 2\int_{1/2}^{p_7} \left[\left\{ t + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} - (t-x)^2} \right\} - x \right] dx.$$

Adding these areas and differentiating with respect to t, we find that the best t is

$$t = \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\pi}\right) = 0.32957747154594766788444418...$$

corresponding to an asymmetry $\alpha(T_1) \approx 0.450$.

1.2. 30°-60°-90° **Triangle.** Since $|T_2| = \sqrt{3}/2$, the optimal circle C has the form

$$(x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}.$$

The intersection of the line $\sqrt{3}x + y = \sqrt{3}$ and C yields two points (p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) with $p_1 < p_2$, $q_1 > q_2$. The intersection of the line $x = 0$ and C yields two points (p_3, q_3), (p_4, q_4) with $p_3 = p_4 = 0$, $q_3 > q_4$. The intersection of the line $y = 0$ and C yields two points (p_5, q_5), (p_6, q_6) with $p_5 < p_6$, $q_5 = q_6 = 0$. Everything can be made explicit, for example,

$$p_1, p_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left(3 + s - \sqrt{3}t \mp \sqrt{-3 + \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi} + 6s - 3s^2 + 2\sqrt{3}t - 2\sqrt{3}st - t^2}\right),$$

$$q_1, q_2 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3}s + 3t \pm \sqrt{-9 + \frac{6\sqrt{3}}{\pi} + 18s - 9s^2 + 6\sqrt{3}t - 6\sqrt{3}st - 3t^2}\right),$$

$$p_5 = s - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - t^2}, \qquad q_4 = t - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - s^2}.$$

The northwestern triangle has area

$$\int_0^{p_1} \left[\sqrt{3}(1-x) - \left\{ t + \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (s-x)^2} \right\} \right] dx$$

and the southeastern triangle has area

$$\int_0^{q_2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\sqrt{3} - y \right) - \left\{ s + \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (t-y)^2} \right\} \right] dy.$$

The southern circular cap has area

$$2 \int_{p_5}^{s} \left[0 - \left\{ t - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (s-x)^2} \right\} \right] dx$$

and the western circular cap has area

$$2 \int_{q_4}^{t} \left[0 - \left\{ s - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (t-y)^2} \right\} \right] dy.$$

The southwestern triangle has area

$$\int_0^{p_5} \left[\left\{ t - \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (s-x)^2} \right\} - 0 \right] dx$$

and the northeastern circular cap has area

$$\int_{q_2}^{q_1} \left[\left\{ s + \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} - (t-y)^2} \right\} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\sqrt{3} - y \right) \right] dy.$$

Adding these areas and differentiating with respect to both s and t, we find that the best (s,t) is

$$s = 0.37191642797701888621006 73...,$$

$$t = 0.47946175545117851314916 72...$$

corresponding to an asymmetry $\alpha(T_2) \approx 0.517$.

1.3. 6-9-13 Triangle. Since $|T_3| = 4\sqrt{35}$, the optimal circle C has the form

$$(x-s)^2 + (y-t)^2 = \frac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi}.$$

The intersection of the line $4\sqrt{35}x + 31y = 24\sqrt{35}$ and C yields two points (p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) with $p_1 < p_2$, $q_1 > q_2$. The intersection of the line $4\sqrt{35}x + 13y = 0$ and C

yields two points; we select (p_3, q_3) to be the point with the larger y-coordinate. The intersection of the line $y = 0$ and C yields two points (p_4, q_4), (p_5, q_5) with $p_4 < p_5$, $q_4 = q_5 = 0$. Everything can be made explicit, for example,

$$p_1, p_2 = \frac{\kappa \mp 31\sqrt{\pi\xi}}{1521\pi}, \qquad q_1, q_2 = \frac{4\left(\lambda \pm \sqrt{\frac{35}{\pi}\xi}\right)}{1521}$$

where

$$\xi = 6084\sqrt{35} - 20160\pi + 6720\pi s - 560\pi s^2 + 1488\sqrt{35}\pi t - 248\sqrt{35}\pi st - 961\pi t^2,$$

$$\kappa = 3360\pi + 961\pi s - 124\sqrt{35}\pi t, \qquad \lambda = 186\sqrt{35} - 31\sqrt{35}s + 140t;$$

$$p_3 = \frac{13\left(\mu - \sqrt{\pi\eta}\right)}{729\pi}, \qquad q_3 = \frac{4\left(\nu + \sqrt{\frac{35}{\pi}\eta}\right)}{729}$$

where

$$\eta = 2916\sqrt{35} - 560\pi s^2 - 104\sqrt{35}\pi st - 169\pi t^2,$$

$$\mu = 13\pi s - 4\sqrt{35}\pi t, \qquad \nu = -13\sqrt{35}s + 140t;$$

$$p_4, p_5 = s \mp \sqrt{\frac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - t^2}.$$

The northwestern triangle has area

$$\int_{-\frac{13}{3}}^{p_3} \left[\left\{-\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{31}(x-6)\right\} - \left\{-\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{13}x\right\}\right] dx + \int_{p_3}^{p_1} \left[\left\{-\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{31}(x-6)\right\} - \left\{t + \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (s-x)^2}\right\}\right] dx$$

and the southeastern triangle has area

$$\int_{p_5}^{p_2} \left[\left\{t - \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (s-x)^2}\right\} - 0\right] dx + \int_{p_2}^{6} \left[\left\{-\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{31}(x-6)\right\} - 0\right] dx.$$

The southwestern circular cap has area

$$2\int_{p_4}^{s} \left[0 - \left\{t - \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (s-x)^2}\right\}\right] dx + \int_{0}^{q_3} \left[\left\{-\tfrac{13}{4\sqrt{35}}y\right\} - \left\{s - \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (t-y)^2}\right\}\right] dy$$

and the northeastern circular cap has area

$$2\int_{p_1}^{s} \left[\left\{t + \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (s-x)^2}\right\} - q_1\right] dx + \int_{q_2}^{q_1} \left[\left\{s + \sqrt{\tfrac{4\sqrt{35}}{\pi} - (t-y)^2}\right\} - \left\{6 - \tfrac{31}{4\sqrt{35}}y\right\}\right] dy.$$

Adding these areas and differentiating with respect to both s and t, we find that the best (s,t) is

$$s = 0.99996340518293634096716 52...,$$

$$t = 2.40979489741862806097744 86...$$

corresponding to an asymmetry $\alpha(T_3) \approx 0.694$.

The fairly arbitrary triangle T_3, in particular, serves as a benchmark in [1] to distinguish various centers. Our preceding value t is the perpendicular distance from the equiareal disk center to the shortest triangle side. Since the numerical value 2.409... does not appear in the database, we infer that this center is new.

2. Illuminating Center

Let Λ denote a light source in three-dimensional space of luminosity L. The amount of light an observer Θ receives from Λ is called its brightness, measured in lumens per unit area. Brightness is inversely proportional to the square of distance between Λ and Θ. (Reason: brightness is constant on the sphere of radius R, center Λ and thus is equal to $L/\left(4\pi R^2\right)$). Although we will focus solely on light sources in the *plane* (streetlights in a triangular park), the preceding *spatial* definition of brightness is the basis of our model.

Given a triangle T (more precisely, its interior), the total brightness

$$\iint\limits_{T} \frac{1}{(x-s)^2+(y-t)^2}\,dx\,dy$$

is the quantity we would wish to maximize with respect to $(s,t) \in T$. It turns out that this integral is divergent and

$$\iint\limits_{T \smallsetminus D_\varepsilon} \frac{1}{(x-s)^2+(y-t)^2}\,dx\,dy$$

must be examined instead, where D_ε is the disk of radius $\varepsilon > 0$, center (s,t). Further, (s,t) cannot be close to ∂T (the boundary of T), that is, we must restrict $(s,t) \in T \smallsetminus (T \cap N_\varepsilon)$ where N_ε is the ε-tubular neighborhood of ∂T. Under such conditions, in the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, a geometric characterization of the maximum point $P = (s,t)$ becomes available.

Let T possess vertices A, B, C. Select any two distinct vertices U, V and note that the semiperimeter of subtriangle UPV is

$$\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{(U-P)\cdot(U-P)} + \sqrt{(V-P)\cdot(V-P)} + \sqrt{(U-V)\cdot(U-V)}}{2}.$$

Using Heron's formula, it follows that the ratio of inner angle to area:

$$\rho(UPV) = \frac{\angle UPV}{|UPV|}$$
$$= \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(U-P)\cdot(V-P)}{\sqrt{(U-P)\cdot(U-P)}\sqrt{(V-P)\cdot(V-P)}}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\sigma-\sqrt{(U-P)\cdot(U-P)}\right)\left(\sigma-\sqrt{(V-P)\cdot(V-P)}\right)\left(\sigma-\sqrt{(U-V)\cdot(U-V)}\right)\sigma}}$$

satisfies $\rho(APB) = \rho(BPC) = \rho(CPA)$, a remarkable fact [5]! For T_1, we solve the resulting equations, obtaining

$$s = t = 0.30827569861465504225672 06...;$$

for T_2,

$$s = 0.35168768876766320554102 77...,$$
$$t = 0.44912861656695522359614 26...;$$

and, for T_3,

$$s = 0.83450116505947541908213 04...,$$
$$t = 2.00314877281610562576793 47....$$

Our preceding value t is the perpendicular distance from the illuminating center to the shortest triangle side. Since the numerical value 2.003... does not appear in the ETC database, we infer that this center is new.

Let us revisit the definition of brightness. Had a *planar* definition been adopted – measured in lumens per unit length – then brightness would be inversely proportional to the distance itself between Λ and Θ. (Reason: brightness would be constant on the circle of radius R, center Λ and thus would be equal to $L/(2\pi R)$). This scenario yields exactly the same formulation as that underlying the electrostatic center [2].

3. Thermodynamic Center

Here, the triangle T (more precisely, its interior) is assumed to be a heat conductor with initial temperature 1 while its boundary ∂T is held at temperature 0 always. Heat u will dissipate as time t increases according to the following initial-boundary value problem:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \qquad \text{for } (x, y, t) \in T \times (0, \infty),$$

$$u(x, y, t) = 1 \qquad \text{for } (x, y, t) \in T \times \{0\},$$
$$u(x, y, t) = 0 \qquad \text{for } (x, y, t) \in \partial T \times (0, \infty)$$



Figure 4: First Laplacian eigenfunction for T_3 using Matlab's *pdesurf*

but does so non-uniformly: its density gathers around a unique maximum point (x_∞, y_∞) as $t \to \infty$ [7, 8]. The point (x_∞, y_∞) is, in fact, the unique extreme point of the first Laplacian eigenfunction for T. The eigenfunction for T_1 is [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]

$$\sin(\pi x)\sin(2\pi y) + \sin(2\pi x)\sin(\pi y)$$

with

$$x_\infty = y_\infty = \frac{1}{\pi}\operatorname{arcsec}\left(\sqrt{3}\right) = 0.30408672398469636491457 22...$$

and the eigenfunction for T_2 is

$$\sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{3}\right)\sin\left(\sqrt{3}\pi y\right) + \sin\left(\frac{4\pi x}{3}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2\pi y}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{5\pi x}{3}\right)\sin\left(\frac{\pi y}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$$

with

$$x_\infty = 0.35584736062638112085796 81...,$$

$$y_\infty = 0.42553596103705766308886 04....$$

It remains to compute the eigenfunction for T_3, but no closed-form expression for this exists. A numerical computation using the Matlab *pdeeig* tool yields $(x_\infty, y_\infty) \approx (0.88, 1.91)$. See Figure 4. Significantly higher precision will be needed to ascertain whether y_∞ appears in the ETC database; we are hopeful that techniques in [15] might save the day.

4. Acknowledgements

I am thankful to Harri Hakula for refining my preceding estimate to $(x_\infty, y_\infty) = (0.88047..., 1.91599...)$ via Mathematica [16], Stefan Steinerberger for introducing me to Fraenkel asymmetry, and the authors of [2, 17] for writing inspirational papers.

References

[1] C. Kimberling, Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers, http://faculty.evansville.edu/ck6/encyclopedia/.

[2] H. Abraham and V. Kovač, From electrostatic potentials to yet another triangle center, http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3176.

[3] N. Fusco, F. Maggi and A. Pratelli, The sharp quantitative isoperimetric inequality, *Annals of Math.* 168 (2008) 941–980; MR2456887 (2009k:52021).

[4] S. Finch, Fraenkel asymmetry, unpublished essay (2014), http://www.people.fas.harvard.edu/~sfinch/.

[5] K. Shibata, Where should a streetlight be placed in a triangle-shaped park? Elementary integro-differential geometric optics, unpublished manuscript (2009), http://www1.rsp.fukuoka-u.ac.jp/kototoi/shibataaleph-sjs.pdf.

[6] J. O'Hara, Renormalization of potentials and generalized centers, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 48 (2012) 365–392; corrigendum, 49 (2012) 397–398; MR2873883 and MR3017967.

[7] L. E. Payne, On two conjectures in the fixed membrane eigenvalue problem, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 24 (1973) 721–729; MR0333487 (48 #11812).

[8] L. Brasco, R. Magnanini and P. Salani, The location of the hot spot in a grounded convex conductor, *Indiana Univ. Math.* J. 60 (2011) 633–659; http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.4742; MR2963787.

[9] B. J. McCartin, Eigenstructure of the equilateral triangle. I, The Dirichlet problem, *SIAM Rev.* 45 (2003) 267–287; MR2010379 (2004j:35064).

[10] B. Siudeja, Sharp bounds for eigenvalues of triangles, *Michigan Math. J.* 55 (2007) 243–254; http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0603630; MR2369934 (2008k:35341).

[11] A. Damle and G. C. Peterson, Understanding the eigenstructure of various triangles, *SIAM Undergraduate Research Online (SIURO)* 3 (2010) N12, http://www.siam.org/students/siuro/vol3/.

[12] P. Freitas, Upper and lower bounds for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of a triangle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 134 (2006) 2083–2089; MR2215778 (2006k:35042).

[13] P. Freitas, Precise bounds and asymptotics for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of triangles and rhombi, *J. Funct. Anal.* 251 (2007) 376–398; MR2353712 (2008k:35339).

[14] P. Antunes and P. Freitas, New bounds for the principal Dirichlet eigenvalue of planar regions, *Experim. Math.* 15 (2006) 333–342; MR2264470 (2007e:35039).

[15] H. Hakula and T. Tuominen, Mathematica implementation of the high order finite element method applied to eigenproblems, *Computing* 95 (2013) S277–S301; MR3054374.

[16] H. Harri, *hp*-FEM & Laplacian eigenproblem over an arbitrary triangle, unpublished note (2014).

[17] L. Brasco and R. Magnanini, The heart of a convex body, *Geometric Properties for Parabolic and Elliptic PDE's*, ed. R. Magnanini, S. Sakaguchi and A. Alvino, Springer-Verlag, 2013, pp. 49–66; http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5223; MR3050226.

Steven Finch
Dept. of Statistics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA, USA
steven_finch@harvard.edu